

## A2:Substantial Topicality

1. We meet:
  1. We meet because over multiple years, we would spend more than \$5 billion.
  2. we are also exploring for education, not just for economy
  3. We meet because we're going to explore more than 2% of the ocean
  4. Literature checks abuse.
    1. As the AFF, we were able to find online material as well as other literature for words in the topic and our plan. Our plan would occur in this search, which means that there is plenty of literature on our case.
  5. Clash checks abuse
    1. Judge, the NEg has plenty of arguments against us, which means that no specific in round abuse has occurred since they had solvency, a DA, they made links. This proves that we were topical enough for them to argue against us.
  6. Don't vote for potential of abuse.
    1. Potential abuse is arbitrary and increases judge intervention. Potential for abuse is also inevitable. There is an infinite number of ways to "potentially abuse" our opponents. Therefore, in round abuse is the only non-arbitrary way to vote for Topicality.
  7. Reasonability is the best standard for Topicality - If the Aff is reasonably topical, you should vote AFF on T.

